

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/035,821	10/19/2001	Jane Werling	IDD-5657 CIP2	2470
759	90 04/12/2005		EXAM	INER
MARK J. BUONAIUTO, ESQ.			OH, SIMON J	
BAXTER INTERNATIONAL INC. LAW DEPARTMENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ONE BAXTER PARKWAY, DF2-2E			1618	
DEERFIELD, IL 60015			DATE MAILED: 04/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				V V D			
- ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/035,821	WERLING ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Simon J. Oh	1615				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - Extending - If the - If NO - Failth	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. He period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period where to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ja	anuary 2005.					
2a)⊠		action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-46 and 49-64 is/are pending in the adaptive day of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-46 and 49-64 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Applicat	tion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign D All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents.	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Applicative documents have been received.	ation No ved in this National Stage				
Attachmen							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I					
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	, , ,	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/035,821

Art Unit: 1615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Papers Received

Receipt is acknowledged of the applicants' response and terminal disclaimer, both received on 20 January 2005.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

The rejection of Claims 1-46 and 49-64 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-108 of U.S. Patent No. 6,607,784; Claims 1-15 and 18-21 of copending Application No. 09/874,499; Claims 1-99 of Application No. 09/874,637, now U.S. Patent No. 6,869,617; Claims 1, 3-73, 75-77, and 110-118 of copending Application No. 09/953,979; and Claims 1-97 Application No. 10/021,692, now U.S. Patent No. 6,884,436 is hereby maintained.

# Response to Arguments

The pending claims cannot be found allowable at this time, as the terminal disclaimer filed on 20 January 2005 has been found to be defective. Specifically, there is no clause stating the percentage of the petitioner's ownership of the right, title, and interest of the instant application. Therefore, the previously standing rejection over the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting is maintained. All pending claims remain rejected.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/035,821

Art Unit: 1615

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Simon J. Oh whose telephone number is (571) 272-0599. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Simon J. Oh Examiner Art Unit 1615

sjo

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Page 4